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#### Abstract

Datta sandstone of Potwar Sub-basin of Pakistan has significant role with reference to hydrocarbon potential. The fields of Toot, Meyal, and Dhulian produces around 1500 million barrels of oil. Datta formation is disseminated in the western part of Salt Range in Surghar, Shinghar, Shaik Budin Hills in Marwat Range and western Khisofr Range. Datta formation is also present in Kala-Chitta, Hazara and Samana Ranges. Due to the complexity of Datta formation, it is important to investigate/ evaluate its petrophysical characteristics and multiphase fluid flow behavior. In this study petrophysical properties were estimated and later, correlations have been developed between core and log data. Further, the porosity and permeability maps have been generated, which are used for flow rate calculations.

Petrophysical study through wireline $\log$ interpretations and lab analysis has produced conclusive results for evaluation of hydrocarbon potential in the formation. Porosity map show trend of porosity which ranges from $0.324 \%$ to $20 \%$. Permeability map shows the trend of permeability which ranges from 0.0035 md to 1284 md . This study shows that, for per unit pressure drop, flow rate ranges from 2.95 to $790(\mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec})$ for oil and 1.56 to $347 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}$ for gas.


## Nomenclature

$\mathrm{A}=\quad$ Core sample cross-sectional area, $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
$a=\quad$ Factor of Tortuosity
$\mathrm{a}=\quad \mathrm{F}$ and $\phi$ plot intercept
$\mathrm{b}=\quad$ Gas constant for specific type of porous media
$\mathrm{F}=\quad$ Factor of formation resistivity, $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}} / \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$, fraction
$\mathrm{GR}_{\log }=$ Formation gamma ray values API
$\mathrm{GR}_{\text {min }}=$ Gamma ray minimum values (clean sand or carbonate) API
$\mathrm{GR}_{\text {max }}=$ Gamma ray maximum values (shale) API
$\mathrm{h}=\quad$ Height of capillary, cm
$\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{GR}}=\quad$ Index of gamma ray API
$\mathrm{k}=\quad$ Core sample permeability, md
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}=\quad$ Liquid permeability, md
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{g}}=\quad$ Gas permeability, md
$K_{a}=\quad$ Air or gas permeability, Darcy's
$\mathrm{L}=\quad$ Length of core sample, cm
$\mathrm{m}=\quad$ Exponent of cementation
$\mathrm{n}=\quad$ Exponent of saturation, slope of RI versus $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{w}}$ plot
$\mathrm{p}_{1}=\quad$ Pressure of fluid at upstream, psig
$\mathrm{p}_{2}=\quad$ Pressure of fluid at downstream, psig
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{a}}=\quad$ Atmospheres absolute pressure, psia
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{nw}}=\quad$ Non-wetting phase pressure, psi
$\mathrm{Pw}=\quad$ Wetting phase pressure, psi
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=\quad$ Initial reference volume absolute pressure, psia
$P_{2}=\quad$ Extended absolute pressure, psia
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}=\quad$ Sample chamber initial absolute atmospheric pressure, psia
$\mathrm{p}=\quad$ Average pressure flowing through the porous media, psia
$\mathrm{p}_{1}=\quad$ Pressure at initial reference volume, psig
$\mathrm{p}_{2}=\quad$ Pressure at final system, psig
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}}=\quad$ Standard reference pressure for mass flow meters, $=1.00$ atmospheric
$\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}}=\quad$ Volumetric flowrate referenced to Pb , cubis centimeters per second
$\mathrm{q}=\quad$ Fluid flow rate, $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{a}}=\quad$ Absolute pressure at gas flow rate, $\mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=$ Resistivity of formation brin, ohmmeters
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=\quad$ Formation true resistivity, ohmmeters
$R_{0}=100 \%$ brine saturated core sample true resistivity, ohmmeters
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}=\quad$ Formation water resistivity, ohm-meters
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}=\quad$ Resistivity of deep log values generates through True formation resistivity, ohmmeters
$\mathrm{r}_{1}, \mathrm{r}_{2}=$ Radii of curvature of interface, cm
$r_{t}=\quad$ Radius of capillary tube, cm
$S_{w}=\quad$ Brine saturation in formation, fraction
$\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{r}}=\quad$ Reference volume absolute temperature at $\mathrm{P}_{1},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{T}_{1 \mathrm{c}}=\quad$ Sample chamber absolute temperature at $\mathrm{P}_{1},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{r}}=\quad$ Reference volume absolute temperature after $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is steadied, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{T}_{2 \mathrm{c}}=$ Sample chamber absolute temperature after $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is steadied, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{U}=\quad$ Viscosity, centipoises, of gas at its average flowing temperature and pressure in core
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{g}}=\quad$ Volume of grain, cc
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}=\quad$ Volume of sample chamber, cc
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}=\quad$ Volume of reference chamber, cc
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{v}}=\quad$ Volume through valve displacement (at closed to open position), cc
$\mathrm{z}_{1}=\quad \mathrm{z}$-factor of gas at $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}$
$\mathrm{z}_{2}=\quad \mathrm{z}$-factor of gas at $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$
$\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{a}}=\quad$ At $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and atmospheric pressure z -factor of gas
$\Delta \rho=$ Difference in wetting and non-wetting phase density, $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$
$\phi=\quad$ Porosity of core sample, fraction
$\phi_{\text {Sonic }}=$ Porosity of sonic log, fraction
$\phi_{\text {Density }}=$ Density derived porosity, fraction
$\Delta t_{\text {matrix }}=$ Travel time of interval in matrix, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{log}}=$ Travel time of interval in formation, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\text {fluid }}=$ Travel time of interval in formation fluid, $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\rho_{\text {matrix }}=$ Matrix density, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\rho_{\text {bulk }(\log )}=\log$ values of formation bulk density, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\rho_{\text {fluid }}=$ Density of fluid, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mu=\quad$ Fluid flowing viscosity, centipoise, $C p$
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## Chapter 1 : Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

Datta sandstone of Potwar Sub-basin of Pakistan plays a significant role for hydrocarbon potential. The fields of Toot, Meyal, and Dhulian produce around 1500 million barrels. Datta formation is disseminated in the western part of Salt Range in Surghar, Shinghar, Shaik Budin Hills in Marwat Range and western Khisofr Range. Datta formation is also present in KalaChitta, Hazara and Samana Ranges. The lithological study of Datta formation (Jurrasic) represents that it consists of sandstone with a streak of shales. Datta Sandstone has essential characteristics and acts as a source and reservoir rock. Datta formation has been confirmed as proven reservoir by previous exploration and research studies in Toot field of Potwar Sub-basin. The field is present in the Attock District of Punjab Province in northern Pakistan. The aerial extent of this field covers $122.67 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The field was discovered in 1968 and in year 1986, production of Toot field reached up to 2400 barrels of oil per day, with $12 \%$ to $15 \%$ recoverable resource, this area contains 60 million barrels oil as probable.

Due to the complexity of Datta formation, it is important to evaluate its petrophysical characteristics and multiphase fluid flow behavior. The objective of the study is to develop correlations of petrophysical parameters and porous media flow behavior for the Datta sandstone and use these correlations to suggest development options increase the production rates. Understanding of fluid flow behavior through porous media has great importance and involvement of exploitation of hydrocarbon. Significant technical applications related multiphase flow and multicomponent displacement in porous media occur in the petroleum industry. Multiple flowing phases naturally exist in hydrocarbon reservoirs.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

Petrophysical and fluid flow behavior of Datta formation of different wells/location will be useful to conclude the fluid rock physics and fluid flow behavior of porous media. Petrophysical study through wireline log interpretations and lab analysis has produced conclusive results for evaluation of oil and gas potential in the formation. Similarly, fluid flow behavior of the formation will be evaluated through relative permeability, capillary pressure, and
resistivity analysis. Rock-fluid properties will help to understand reservoir behavior of Datta formation in different wells/location.

### 1.3 Objectives of the Study

In this study, rock-fluid characteristics, fluid behavior and the hydrocarbon potential of Datta formation will be evaluated.
a. To investigate the petrophysical characteristics of Datta formation.
b. To develop correlation between core and $\log$ data
c. To predict/investigate the trend of petrophysical properties of Datta formation.
d. To investigate the fluid flow behavior of Datta formation.

### 1.4 Methodology

### 1.4.1 A brief description of research flow:

The research will be carried out by going through the following phases:

1. Literature Review.
2. Collection of wireline log and core data from OGDCL/and other sources
3. Interpretation of wireline $\log$ data through commercial software
4. Integration of core and $\log$ data for establishing correlation
5. A study of Oil-water and Gas-oil Relative permeability analysis
6. A case Study of Multiphase Fluid Flow Through Porous Media
7. Results and discussions.
8. Conclusions and recommendations.

### 1.4.2 Theoretical studies

Theoretical studies will be carried out in the light of the literature. References are given at the end of this proposal summary and extending the knowledge by including more references while conducting these studies.

### 1.5 Utilization of Research Results/Expected Results

This study will establish petrophysical trend and correlations of Datta formation of Potwar Sub-basin of Pakistan. Fluid flow through porous media will develop the better understanding to
increase both the production rates and reserves of the field. This study will give better insight for conducting simulation studies in future. Effect of various parameters (i.e. porosity, permeability, relative permeability and capillary pressure) will also be checked. Successful studies may help to improve the modeling and designing of surface production facilities, tubing design. In fact, the Formation has an excellent potential for hydrocarbons. Therefore their applications will be helpful for the future exploration of petroleum.

## Chapter 2 : Geology and Petroleum System

### 2.1 Geological Setting

The study zone lies in the Kohat-Potwar geological region, a part of upper Indus basin figure (2-1). Upper Indus basin area bounds by Parachinar-Murree fault in the north and Surghar and the Salt Range thrust bound in the south. The borders of east and west area are set apart by the Jehlum and the Kurram fault accordingly. Partition of this area on the basis of tectonic can be described as Soan syncline, northern Potwar deformed zone, the Salt Range, the Kohat Plateau, the Bannu depression and the Trans-Indus ranges (Khan et al., 1986). Shallow continental shelf environment is composed of silica-bearing rocks and carbonate minerals (Wandrey, et al., 2004b). The late Proterozoic and the Salt Range Formation of the Precambrian spread over with organic-rich shales, sandstones, embedded carbonates as a basement of metamorphic rocks (Shah et al., 1977; Iqbal and Shah., 1980).


Figure 2-1: Study area geological and location map (modified after Raza., 1992).
The Datta Formation is the essential elements of the western Kala Chitta Range, comprising of the following complicated facies:

- Clays, claystone with subordinate bauxitic lenses within the Upper Part
- Quartzose sandstone, hematitic sandstone and ironstone in the bottom with laterite

Fireclay is present within the top of the Datta formation, and it includes these lithosome's (red ironstone to hematitic sandstone, white quartzite sandstone, white to gray and purple clay and bauxite) in a parallel pinch-out relationship. Vertically, the lithosome's have extraordinarily gradational to the broken relationship. The quartzose sandstone is overlain using white to cream clay with sand to silt-sized quartz grains inside the lower part. With the span of time, occasional quartzose sand over clay or of red sandstone with clay is not unusual. Ironstone to ferruginous sandstone has very current affiliation inside the subject region. (Muhammad Kaleem., 2005). Datta Formation (Jurassic) is chalky to darkened dullin frequently chocolate, solid, huge fine arranged, quartzose, fine to coarse grained, subangular to subrounded randomly micaceous with good visible porosity. On the other hand, Shale is gray to red chocolate, multicolored hard, brittle, noncalcareous, bituminous and carbonaceous with a mark of gymnosperm plants.

### 2.1.1 Structure

Toot structure is a lightly dipping pericline, with an aerial extent of $6 \times 8 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. It has a comparatively steeper south-eastern flank (dipping angle of $6^{\circ}-7^{\circ}$ ) and a very mild north-western flank (dips $2^{\circ}-3^{\circ}$ ). Axis of the structure on ground runs from NE to SW and finally bends to the west. Updated seismic data shows that Toot structure at a depth of Eocene horizons is a latitudinal extending anticline in the choice to meridional one. Some N-S trending faults of small vertical and lateral extent additionally complicate the structure specifically to the west of the main fault.

### 2.1.2 Stratigraphy

By flush cutting, electronic logs and correlation of neighboring wells established the stratigraphic succession. Generally, all horizons drilled were according to geological drilling order with minor variations in thickness and depths. Stratigraphically two most significant unconformities have been encountered within the well or wells. Firstly, Datta formation of lower Jurassic is truncated unconformable due to Hangu formation of Paleocene. Secondly, Kohat formation of center Eocene is overlain at once through Fateh Jhang area of lower Miocene.

### 2.2 Petroleum System of Upper Indus Sub-basin

Potwar sub-basin has different ages. Total petroleum structures (TPS) arye shown in figure (2-2). These TPS are divided into Precambrian to Permian, Jurassic to Cretaceous, Eocambrian to Miocene and Paleocene to Eocene for exploitation of hydrocarbon reservoirs. It is complex to identify a specific boundary between two separate TPS due to the existence of large fault structures and distortion. Further, it may allow hydrocarbon to migrate from the source rock.

### 2.2.1 Source Rock

In the focused region of research, main source formations of hydrocarbon are Precambrian Salt Range, Nammal and Patala of Jurrasic and Paleocene age. However, some different capability source rocks have been playing a distinct part or role in the basin (Qadri, 1995).

### 2.2.2 Reservoir Rocks

The main reservoirs of the research area under consideration are Datta sandstone (Jurrasic) and Sakesser limestone (Eocene). Other sandstone and carbonates reservoir of Miocene, Paleogene, Jurrasic, Permean and Cambrian are deposited in this region (Shah et al., 1977; Iqbal and Shah, 1980). The thickness of lower Jurassic age is +115 m and lithology data represent sandstone with a streak of shale.

### 2.2.3 Traps and Seals

The main hydrocarbon producers of Potwar Sub-basin to the current scenario are upturned faulted anticlinal fold, pop-up structures or traps of block faults. The research area shows that anticline characteristics observe the trend setting as east-northeast to west-southwest and about similar to the plate destruction region. In this area Shale of Datta, Chichali and Lumshiwal formation acts as a seal. (Jaswal et al., 1997).

## Chapter 3 : Petrophysics and Multiphase Flow of Porous Media

### 3.1 Petrophysical Properties

The petrophysical analysis from core samples is used for reserve estimation and exploitation of new discoveries. The standardization of wireline log data with results of core analysis leads to development of correlation, valid for one or specific reservoir. The present work advances this standardization/ confirmation procedure to the pore size level by bringing in core analysis and log interpretation. The main petrophysical parameters which are discussed in this study are porosity, permeability, water saturation, capillary pressure, resistivity and relative permeability.

### 3.2 Core-Log Data Integration Model

To develop considerable and assertive approach for reservoir characterization, exploitation and reserve estimation have been envisioned through the integration of core and log data. Uncertainty associated with formation evaluation is reduced through laboratory analysis and $\log$ data interpretation. Hydrocarbon potential zone and complexity of the formation could be defined from core data and the larger scale investigation of $\log$ data. For the integration purpose, laboratory study of a core sample has been developed to measure a variety of rock property-es such as porosity, permeability, and water saturation.

### 3.2.1 Routine Core Analysis

It is the type of analysis, performed on core samples taken from reservoir, during or after drilling. Measurements obtained from routine core analysis are porosity, permeability, grain density, fluid saturation, permeability and lithology (API RP40).

### 3.2.1.1 Porosity:

Porosity controls fluid storage and connectivity of the pore structure regulate fluid flow and migration through geological formations, as well as correlations between particular minerals and bulk properties of rock (Lawrence et al., 2015). The ratio of pore space volume to bulk volume is named as porosity, which can be mathematically expressed as: (Ahmed, T., 2001).

$$
\text { Porosity }(\phi)=\frac{\text { Pore Volume }}{\text { Bulk Volume }} \quad \text { or },
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Porosity }(\phi)=\frac{\text { Bulk Volume }- \text { Grain Volume }}{\text { Bulk Volume }} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Effective porosity is calculated from the interconnected pores, which is the focused porosity for Reservoir Engineers. Due to deposition and geologic process original porosity changes to induced porosity form (Ahmed, T., 2001). Compaction and overburden pressure of rock decreases porosity with the increase of depth (Dullien., 1992). The porosity of sandstones varies from $10-40 \%$, whereas, limestone and dolomite porosity ranges from 5-20\%.

### 3.2.1.2 Permeability and Klinkenberg Effect:

The ability of the rock, to allow the hydrocarbons to flow through them is called permeability (Ahmed, T., 2001). Permeability can be calculated by using the following equations:

Permeability (Non-compressible Fluids):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{q \times m \times l \times 1000}{\left(p_{1}-p_{2}\right) A} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Permeability (Compressible Fluids):

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{a}=\frac{q_{a} \times p_{a} \times \mu \times L \times 1000}{\left(p_{1}^{2}-p_{2}^{2}\right) A} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Klinkenberg effect may occur, due to slippage of gas molecules with the pore walls (Wu, et al., 1998). Due to this effect permeability correction is required. In this regard, liquid permeability $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$ is linked with gas permeability $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{l}=\frac{k_{g}}{\left(1+\frac{b}{p}\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Wireline Log Interpretation and Techniques

Wireline $\log$ evaluation is the most important techniques for petroleum geosciences. It can be used to generate iso-pacheous maps and to evaluate rock properties like geological description, pore volume, and liquid flowability. The logs may be helpful in identification of prospective areas and to assess well intervals for hydrocarbon production.

### 3.2.2.1 Spontaneous Potential Log:

Spontaneous potential (SP) log measurements give valuable information during well log interpretation. Mostly log suite contains SP $\log$ while running into the well. SP $\log$ is used to investigate gross lithology (i.e., reservoir vs. non-reservoir)). This $\log$ is also used for the correlation of different zones between the wells (Doll, H.G., 1950).

### 3.2.2.2 Gamma Ray Log:

Gamma Ray (GR) is a method of measuring naturally occurring gamma ray radiations to characterize the formation type. The gamma ray tool allows to distinguish between shales and non-shales. Rocks containing potassium feldspars, micas, glauconitic, or uranium-rich waters in sandstone, gamma ray shows high value, while, in uncontaminated sandstone (less shale content) its value goes down (Blanchard et al., 1953). Gamma ray index measurement is carried out by the equation given below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{G R}=\frac{G R_{\log }-G R_{\min }}{G R_{\max }-G R_{\min }} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.2.3 Porosity Logs:

Porosity logs calculate porosity of the reservoir rock. They are subdivided into following categories (Gaymard et al., 1968):
i) Sonic log

Sonic $\log$ is a measure of formation's capability to transmit seismic waves. This capacity of reservoir rock varies with lithology and rock texture, mostly decreases with increase of effective porosity. Wyllie time-average equation is applied for porosity calculation in sonic log:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\text {Sonic }}=\frac{\Delta t_{\text {log }}-\Delta t_{\text {matrix }}}{\Delta t_{\text {fluid }}-\Delta t_{\text {matrix }}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) Density $\log$

Density $\log$ deliver an incessant record of a formation's bulk density along the interval of a borehole. Density of minerals (i.e matrix) and the fluid enclosed in the pore space is called bulk density. Porosity from density $\log$ can be measured from the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\text {Density }}=\frac{\rho_{\text {matrix }}-\rho_{\text {bulk }(\log )}}{\rho_{\text {matrix }}-\rho_{\text {fluid }}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

iii) Neutron log

Neutron $\log$ count hydrogen atoms present in the formation. Mainly this $\log$ used to measure porosity of reservoir rock. This $\log$ is processed by bombarding high energy neutrons in the formation.

### 3.2.2.4 Resistivity Logs:

Resistivity is the measure of resistance to current passing through rock. The value of resistivity increases on hydrocarbon bearing zone and reduces with saline water in the pores. Resistivity $\log$ is applied to evaluate hydrocarbon and water-bearing zones. Resistivity of formation water $\left(R_{w}\right)$ is calculated through resistivity log. Archie equation (3.8) is used to measure water saturation through resistivity and porosity logs data (Archie, G.E., 1942).

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{w}=\left(\frac{a \times R_{w}}{R_{t} \times \emptyset^{m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.3 Concept of Multiphase Flow of Fluid through Porous Medium

A partitioning of the total volume of solid matrix and pore space with the latter being filled by one or more fluids is characterized as a porous media. It is important to evaluate the characterizes flow through porous media using petrophysical analysis such as relative permeability, capillary pressure, wettability, and resistivity. Integration of these petrophysical parameters can be helpful to develop multiphase flow model of reservoir rock (Honarpour, et al., 1982).

### 3.3.1 Effect of Relative Permeability on Porous Medium

Relative permeability is described as the ratio of effective to absolute permeability. Single phase fluid flow through a porous medium is descibed as absolute permeability. Moreover, effective permeability deals with the flow of more than one fluid (Cosse R., 1993; Bear J.C., 1972). The figure (3-1) describes gas-water system as given below ((Honarpour, et al., 1982):


Figure 3-1: Graph is plotted on the data of gas-water relative permeability where water displaced the gas (Honarpour, et al., 1982).

### 3.3.2 Impact of Saturation On Porous Media

It is fraction of space occupied by gas, oil or water in the pore volume. Oil flow occurred in porous media when saturation exceeds from the critical oil saturation. During injection of gas or water, wetting phase displaced the non-wetting phase the remaining saturation of oil left, termed as residual oil saturation (Ahmed, T., 2001).

### 3.3.3 Wettability Effect On Porous Media

Wettability is the capability of a fluid to maintain interaction with a solid surface, consequential from intermolecular contact when the two are brought together (Ahmed, T., 2001). As contact angle ( $\Theta$ ) decreases, system moves towards water-wet. Furthermore, wettability affects the fluid distribution in porous media, for example, non-wetting phase occupies larger pores, while, smaller pores are filled by wetting phase (Buckley and Leverett., 1941).


Figure 3-2: Wettability (Contact Angle) (Honarpour, et al., 1982).

### 3.3.4 Effect of Capillary Pressure On Porous Medium

Capillary pressure $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is defined as the difference in pressure across the interface between two phases. Capillary pressure depends on wettability, pore volume, geometry/ size of pores and interfacial tension. The figure (3-3) represents contact between two immiscible fluids and curvature is resulted due to capillary pressure (Ahmed, T., 2001). The equation (3.9) and (3.10) are used to calculate represents capillary pressure (Alam., 2008).


Figure 3-3: Interface between Water and Oil (Alam, 2008)

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{c} & =\frac{2 \sigma \cos \theta}{r t}  \tag{3.9}\\
P_{c} & =\left(\frac{h}{144}\right) \Delta \rho \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Capillary pressure is also known as entry pressure, threshold pressure and breakthrough pressure (Dullien., 1992). Fluid saturation distribution in transition zone depends on capillary pressure and it is used for calculation of transition zone height. Based on capillary pressure data, different saturations in reservoir can be characterized into free water level (FWL), water-oil contact (WOC), transition zone and gas-oil contact (GOC) as shown in figure (3-4) (Ahmed, T., 2001).


Figure 3-4: Shows the concept of pressure differential among hydrocarbon height and water saturation (Tiab et al, 2004)

### 3.3.5 Electrical Properties and Formation Factor

Electrical parameters of core sample are measured in the laboratory for calculation of water saturation. Archie defined formation factor (F) in 1942 as the ratio of resistivity of $100 \%$ water saturated rock $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ to the saturating brine $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$. Cementation component (m) can be derived from the slope of plotted graph between formation factor and measured porosity (Archie, 1942). The figure (3-5) shows the relationship between formation factors and porosity.


Figure 3-5: Plot between Formation Factor versus porosity with the change of intercept" ""(Serra, Oberto, 1984).

Moreover, the actual resistivity increases with the reduction of water saturation in a given sample. This is due to the fact that fewer ions are available for flow path of electricity. The ratio of true resistivity $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ at given saturation to the resistivity at $100 \%$ saturation $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ is defined as resistivity index (RI). The Figure (3-6) illustrates the relationship between the resistivity index and water saturation to calculate the range of slope "n."


Figure 3-6: Plot between water saturation and resistivity index to calculate the range of slope " $n$ "(Serra, Oberto, 1984).

## Chapter 4 : Laboratory Methods for Core Plug Analysis

### 4.1 Core Analysis

Petrophysical analysis plays a vital role in reservoir evaluation. Coring is one of the major technique used for petrophysical analysis. Coring is a complete program which is designed for evaluation of hydrocarbon potential of the reservoir. Core samples are obtained from borehole through coring programs at specific depth intervals. The length of core ranges from 1.5-400 feet. Coring is characterized into two types: conventional coring and wireline log retrievable coring.

### 4.1 Core Sampling and Preparation

Proper core sampling and preparation procedure should be conducted, before core analysis. Core samples are prepared and evaluated for the following information.
a. Detail description and distribution of lithology
b. In lithology interval porosity and permeability variations
c. Hydrocarbon distribution

### 4.2.1 Plug Samples

From entire core sample (ranging from 1.5 ft to 400 ft in length), plug samples of 1inch to 3inch length is drilled out with respect to the bedding plane (horizontally or vertically). Drill press with diamond-edged core drills, capable of drilling cylinderical sample are used .These plug samples are drilled out with bit size of 1inch to 1.5 inch from the core on specific points. To avoid the bending and deforming of bit or plug sample, excessive pressure should not be used during drilling.

### 4.2.2 Sample Cutting, Trimming, and Mounting

To prepare the core plug in proper cylindrical shape cutting, trimming and mounting is carried out (API Recommended Practice, 1988). In sample cutting and trimming operation, huge slab saw with a diamond blade and trim saw with a diamond blade instruments are used. Precautionary measures should be taken by user before cutting, trimming and mounting operations.

### 4.2.3 Core Cleaning and Drying

Before petrophysical analysis of core samples, it is essential to remove fluids (drilling mud and hydrocarbons) from pore spaces of core sample. Cleaning of core sample is accomplished through flushing of selected solvents to displace hydrocarbon, water, and brine. Genarlly distillation extraction method is applied for core cleaning. The color of siphons (due to solvent) intermittently in extractor can give an idea of core sample cleaning. This procedure of extraction constantly repeated until the extract becomes clear (API Recommended Practice, 1988). Extra care must be taken, while selecting solvent for solvent extraction technique. Solvent should not damage core sample. The table (4-1) illustrates major techniques for drying of conventional core sample.

Table 4-1: Various drying techniques of core samples

| Rock Type | Method | Temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sandstone <br> (low clay content) | Conventional oven | 116 |
|  | Vacuum oven | 90 |
| Carbonate | Humidity oven, <br> $40 \%$ relative humidity | 63 |
|  | Conventional oven | 116 |
| Gypsum-bearing | Humidity oven, <br> $40 \%$ relative humidity | 90 |
| Shale or other high clay <br> rock | Humidity oven, $40 \%$ relative <br> humidity Conventional vacuum | 60 |

### 4.2 Porosity Measurement Method for Core Plug

Various methods can be used for porosity measurement. Grain volume method is more accurate as compared to volumetric method (API recommended practice., 1998). In grain volume measurement, absolute porosity is calculated using Boyle's Law. Boyle's law states that at constant temperature, volume of gas is inversely proportional to pressure.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{1}}{V_{2}}=\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}} \quad \text { or } \quad P_{1} P_{2}=P_{2} V_{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For accurate volume measurement, the equation (4.1) can be modified to incorporate temperature and compressibility factor variations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{1}}{Z_{1}} \frac{P_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{P_{2}}{Z_{2}} \frac{V_{2}}{T_{2}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Grain volume (GV) of core sample is measured using equation (4.2). Helium porosimeter is used to measure porosity of core plug. A schematic diagram of helium porosimeter is shown in fig (41). In helium porosimeter, known volume of reference cell $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ is introduced with gas at fixed reference pressure ( 100 to 200 psi ). Initially reference chamber volume $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ and sample chamber volume (Vc) are calibrated. In sample chamber, core plug is positioned. After calibration a pre-determined pressure of helium gas is applied in reference chamber, which is approximately 100 to 200 psi . Pressure is applied for 30 seconds approximately to achieve the equilibrium and $P_{1}$ (pressure indicated by the digital transducer readout) is noted. After, gas is allowed to expand and a lower pressure $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ is noted at equilibrium. Boyle's law is used to measure grain volume through initial pressure of reference chamber and final pressure system. The difference of grain volume and bulk volume is the pore volume. By using mass balance inside the reference chamber and sample chamber, an equation is derived from Boyle's law, for calculation of grain volume is given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{1} V_{r}}{Z_{1} T_{1 r}}+\frac{P_{a}\left(V_{c}-V_{g}\right)}{Z_{a} T_{2 c}}=\frac{P_{2} V_{r}}{Z_{2} T_{2 r}}+\frac{P_{2}\left(V_{c}-V_{g}+V_{v}\right)}{Z_{2} T_{2 c}} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ideal gas and isothermal condition $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{g}=V_{c}-V_{r}\left(\frac{P_{1}-P_{2}}{P_{2}-P_{a}}\right)+V_{v}\left(\frac{P_{2}}{P_{2}-P_{a}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4-1: Schematic diagram of Helium Porosimeter (Koederitz L.F., et. al, 1989)

### 4.3 Permeability Measurement Method for Core Plug

The permeability of a porous medium is a measure of ease with which fluids may pass through the medium under the influence of driving pressure. The magnitude of permeability depends on size, shape and continuity of the pores within the rock. The permeability of a porous medium can be determined from core samples by laboratory testing (Koedertiz, et al., 1989). Gas permeameter is most commonly used for permeability determination of core samples. The figure (4-2) describes the mechanism of gas permeameter is given below:


Figure 4-2: Gas Permeater flow diagram mention process of gas flow and differential pressure (Koederitz L.F., et. al, 1989).

The stepwise procedure for permeability measurement is given below:

1. Turn on the power, allow five minutes warm-up time. The led display should read "Zero" with valve $V_{2}$ in the "OPEN" position.
2. Measure and record the core sample area and cross-sectional area (A) and length (L).
3. Load the core into the core holder.
4. Attach the hydraulic pump to the confining pressure inlet, and adjust to obtain the desired confining pressure. Distilled water or a light oil can be used.
5. Open valve $\mathrm{V}_{4}$. After the pressure has been applied as indicated by the confining pressure gauge, close valve $\mathrm{V}_{4}$ to lock in the confining pressure.
6. For gas admission $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ (Core Inlet) and $\mathrm{V}_{3}$ (inlet metering) will be at close position while $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ (Zero) will be at open position
7. To admit gas, open $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and opening the upstream metering valve, $\mathrm{V}_{3}$.
8. If permeability to be determined against an atmospheric back pressure, no pressure should be applied to the dome of the back-pressure regulator. Gas rates are regulated by adjusting the gas supply pressure regulator (inlet metering) and observed on the appropriate mass flow meter read out. $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is closed (handle in the right position) to establish a P across the core.
9. If permeabilities are to be determined at elevated core pressure, apply the desired pressure to the dome of the back-pressure setting, if desired. The back-pressure regulator will regulate the back pressure to approximately the same pressure as is applied to its dome.

To determine the gas permeability, Darcy's equation is used. It requires measurement of the core cross-sectional area, core length, pressure drop across the core, and the gas flow rate at that pressure drop. The accuracy can be increased by measuring flowing gas ambient pressure and temperature. Darcy equation for isothermal steady-state gas flow is given below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{2 \mu \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}^{2}-\mathrm{P}_{2}^{2}\right)} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Relative Permeability Method for Core Plug

Relative permeability is the most significant parameter describing multiphase flow and is the ratio of effective permeability to the absolute permeability. The unsteady-state experiment
of oil-water relative permeability was conducted both at ambient and simulated reservoir conditions using mineral oil (viscosity 20 cp at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ). The procedure of the relative permeability measurement is given below:

- The cleaned, dried out crop sample saturated with an aqueous phase was placed in Hassler core holder.
- Core holder was then connected with the main core flooding unit.
- Confining pressure of 2000 psig was applied to the annulus of the core holder with Haskel pump.
- In case of simulated temperature experiment, the temperature of the system was stabilized at $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ equivalent to the reservoir condition;
- The aqueous phase was allowed to flow for sufficient time till the differential pressure was stabilized. Then three concordant reading of flow rates were recorded to calculate the absolute permeability.
- Kerosene was used for displacement purpose, and the relative volumes of effluents (produced fractions of oil and water) were measured to determine oil-water relative permeability data as well as the average in situ fluid saturation at any interval along the test sample during an experiment.
- When water production from the out crop sample becomes zero, then it was saturated with oil at irreducible water saturation. At this stage, differential pressure was also stabilized.
- Fluids displacement rates were kept low to minimize the variation in relative permeability data sets due to fines migration effects.
Relative permeability measurement system can be varied according to the circumstances. A figure (4-3) shows the complete concept of relative permeability system. The relative permeability system is composed of stainless steel for both reservoir and ambient conditions. At ambient condition, fluid flow pressures and confining pressure ranges upto 100psi and 1500psi respectively.


Figure 4-3: Schematic diagram of relative permeability system ((Honarpour et al., 1982)

### 4.5 Capillary Pressure Measurement Method for Core Plug

Capillary pressure apparatus is used to calculate the capillary pressure of core sample. It is also used to calculate height of transition zone from plot of saturation versus capillary pressure. (Hassler G.L. \& Brunner E., 1945).

1. A cleaned, dried core sample bulk volume and pore volume is calculated. Then measured the weight of dry core sample.
2. The core sample is saturated with known brine/water density. The weight of core sample is measured.
3. After saturating the core sample, it is placed in the centrifuge and start the centrifuge at the rotation rate of 500 RPM.
4. Observe the displaced fluid volume in the tube and calculate it.
5. Collected volume is noted through graduated tube, when the volume of collected fluid represents no advance alterations.
6. The Same procedure of 4 and 5 step is repeated for higher rotational speed.

The following step will be involved to calculate the capillary pressure:

1. The pore volume of the core sample is calculated as: $V_{p}=\frac{W_{s a t}-W_{d r y}}{\rho_{w}}$
2. RPM is converted in $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ as $\omega=\frac{2 \pi(\mathrm{RPM})}{60}$
3. Capillary pressure is calculated as: $P_{c L}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{w} \omega^{2}\left(r_{2}^{2}-r_{1}^{2}\right)$
4. Measure average water saturation $S_{w}$ in the core sample established on the volume of the water collected at resultant capillary pressure: $S_{w}=1-\frac{V_{c o l l}}{V_{p}}$
5. Draw a plot $S_{\text {PcL }}$ versus $P_{c L}$
6. Draw tangents to the plot of the curve at every point and measure the slope of every tangent. These slopes are the water saturation data $S_{w}$ at the resultant capillary pressure.
7. Draw the capillary pressures as a function of the water saturations.

### 4.6 Resistivity Measurements Method for Core Plug

To calculate the major electrical characteristics of porous rock such as resistivity, formation factor, tortuosity, cementation factor, resistivity index and saturation exponent a resistivity experiment is conducted. Resistance is measure of voltage reduction between a reference resistor and a sample (to be measured) in series as shown in figure (4-4) (Wyllie M.R. \& Spangler M.B., 1952). Formerly, resistance of sample is measured, and resistivity of the sample can be established from sample size.


Figure 4-4: Flow diagram which shows the process of rock resistance measurement

## Chapter 5 : Case Study of Integration of Core Analysis and Log Interpretation

### 5.1 Introduction

Core analysis and wireline log interpretation methods are considered as an essential part of formation evaluation. In this study, Core-Log Integration of Datta Sandstone (Upper Indus Basin) of Pakistan is carried out to investigate the petrophysical parameters. Conclusive core analysis results have been determined under standard laboratory conditions on core plug which is derived from the reservoir rock. Moreover, $\log$ interpretation of wells A, B, C and D intervals of the reservoir rock is carried out to investigate petrophysical parameters (Porosity and Permeability). The objective of this study is to integrate the derived $\log$ and core data to minimize the uncertanity of tools and borehole environment. The objective is acheived by integration of measurements by depth matching the core plug samples to the logs and calibration of logs using the core plug measurements. Finally, a review of the basic principles and techniques is presented, along with some of the uncertainties, assumptions, and errors.

### 5.2 Data Analysis and Corrections

It is important to evaluate the quality of data and corrections. In this regard following methods or techniques can be applied:

### 5.1.1 Depth Calibration on Log

Depth $\log$ calibration is used to overcome the probable errors of exact depth interval measurement. This error is placed due to high tension cable, over pull, improper calibration, inappropriate deviation reading. To fix this depth error, different techniques can be used such as by matching gamma ray $\log$ of well borehole and core, by matching the petrophysical log with drilling log depth and by matching the formation tops evaluated by geologists. In this study, log depth is matched in the limit of 0.2 m .

### 5.1.2 Correction of Well Deviation

This technique is applied to minimize borehole deviation, correction and vertical depth. A relationship has been developed by Yangjian (1995), as described in equation 5.1 to find out precise and actual vertical depth value.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}-Z_{1}=\int_{\phi_{1}}^{\phi_{2}} \frac{b_{1}-b_{2}}{\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}} \cos \phi d \phi=\frac{b_{1}-b_{2}}{\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}}\left(\sin \phi_{1}-\sin \phi_{2}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are initial and end point of well/ borehole. Moreover, $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are relative vertical depth intervals, whereas, $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are angle deviation factor.

### 5.1.3 Rebuilding of Logs Curves

It has been observed that, during well logging, there are some abnormal variations and loss of data at certain depth intervals. Sometimes $\log$ data gives abnormal variations or loss of data at certain depth. These problems are overcome by developing a new relationship between erroneous $\log$ data and other logs (porosity, shale content and other $\log$ curves). Abnormal interval is replaced by new $\log$ curves $\left(\log ^{*}\right)$ based on following relationship (Schlumberger 1994).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log ^{*}=f(\text { Por }, V s h, \log 1, \log 2 \ldots) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.1.4 Normalization of Log Curve

For multi-well data, it is very common to have different $\log$ readings for the same formation or rock types in the same area. A standard formation (normally, a shale formation) is defined to compare with the same log data in the same formation, and a normalization method is then used to correct log readings.

### 5.1.5 Core and Log data Calibration

In this method, density is calculated at specific depth through core analysis and log interpretation. On the basis of core and log data, graph is prepared to check the relation between core density and $\log$ density. The same method has been applied for wells A, B, C and D. The results showed that core density matches with log density as shown in figure (5-1):


Figure 5-1: Cross plot developed between density of core and log data of wells $A, B, C$ and $D$ with depth interval

### 5.1.6 Matching of Core Data

Log data has more discrepancy than core data. This issue is resolved by comparison of vertical resolution and distance from source to receiver of $\log$ equipment (Khalid P., et. al., 2015).

### 5.3 Lithological Analysis

Depth intervals of wells A, B, C and D of 30 m (4595m-4625m), 50 m ( $4710 \mathrm{~m}-4760 \mathrm{~m}$ ), $50 \mathrm{~m}(4451 \mathrm{~m}-4501 \mathrm{~m})$ and $30 \mathrm{~m}(4510 \mathrm{~m}-4540 \mathrm{~m})$ respectively are selected for wireline $\log$ interpretation. These intervals lie in Datta formation. After log data corrections, interpretation of these logs is carried out. From spontaneous potential log, it is found that Datta contains clean sandstone on major portion of reservoir intervals. Gamma ray log show high values on upper portions and low at bottom. Moreover, it also showed that Datta formation has shale in upper portion. Details representation of wireline logs interpretations is shown on figures (5-2,5-3, 5-4 and 5-4) given below:


Figure 5-2 : Graphical log interpretation of well A


Figure 5-3: Graphical log interpretation of well B


Figure 5-4: Graphical log interpretation of well C


Figure 5-5: Graphical log interpretation of well D
The graphical representations showed that major portion of Datta formation having wells (A, B, C and D) consist of sandstone. Moreover, presence of clean sandstone is also validated from core analysis. Resistivity values are increasing in hydrocarbon bearing zones and decreases in water bearing zones. The detailed analysis of coring and log data showed that Datta formation has good matrix porosity and permeability.

### 5.4 Porosity and Permeability from Cores and Logs

After lithological analysis of Datta formation, porosity logs (sonic, density and neutron) are applied to find out porosity. These logs showed that porosity values are increasing from top to bottom. In wells A, B, C and D porosity values obtained from wireline log interpretation, ranges from 16 to $21 \%, 18$ to $22 \%, 6$ to $13 \%$ and 6 to $11 \%$ accordingly. Porosity analysis is also conducted on five to ten samples from wells A, B, C and D. From core samples, average porosity ranges from 19 to $23 \%, 8$ to $13 \%, 10$ to $11 \%$ and 6 to $12 \%$ in wells A, B, C and D respectively, as shown in table (5-1). The porosity value from core samples showed similar results to wireline log.

Table 5-1: Core and log data average porosity and permeability of well A, B, C and D are presented.

| Well <br> Name | Age/ <br> Formation | Depth Interval(m) | Average $\phi$, from Wireline Logs (\%) | Average K, from Wireline $\operatorname{Logs}(m d)$ | Core Interval (m) | $\phi$ From Core (\%) | K from <br> Core(md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well A | Jurrasic/Datta | 4595-4625 | 16-21 | 0.1-299 | Core-1: 4595-4605 | 17-22 | 0.3-130 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Core-2: 4609-4618 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Core-3: 4620-4627 |  |  |
| Well B | Jurrasic/Datta | 4710-4760 | 18-22 | 0.1-300 | Core-1: 4709-4718 | 19-23 | 0.2-180 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Core-2: 4725-4735 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Core-3: 4740-4751 |  |  |
| Well C | Jurrasic/Datta | 4451-4501 | 6-13 | 1-213 | Core-1: 4408-4460 | 8-13 | 10-220 |
|  |  |  |  |  | Core-2:4460-4516 | 10-11 | 2-117 |
| Well D | Jurrasic/Datta | 4510-4540 | 6-11 | 1-210 | Core-1:4516-4524 | 6-12 | 1-170 |

Linear regression of figure (5-4) showed that porosity results (core \& logs) are in accordance with each other. The permeability can be calculated from SP, resistivity and porosity log. The table (5-1) showed that calculated value of permeability ranges from 0.1 md to $299 \mathrm{md}, 0.1 \mathrm{md}$ to 300 md , 1 md to 213 md and 1 md to 210 md in wells A, B, C and D respectively. Moreover, permeability analysis is also conducted on five to ten samples from wells $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D . Permeability ranges from 0.3 md to $130 \mathrm{md}, 0.2 \mathrm{md}$ to 180 md , 2 md to 220 md and 1 md to 170 md in wells interval of $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D . Permeability values from core and log data shows large variation in well C and D than in well A and B . Linear relationship has been observed in a cross plot of well $A$ and $B$, but variations are detected in well $C$ and $D$ which are shown in figure (5-6).

Graph has been plotted between log porosity and $\log$ permeability of well A.


Porosity verses permeability graph has been plotted on the basis of values obtained from core analysis.


Between log porosity and log permeability graph has been present below of Well B.


A graph has been plotted between core porosity and core permeability of Well B.


Graph between $\log$ porosity and $\log$ permeability of well C has been presented below.


Core porosity verses core permeability graph of well C is presented below.


Graph between $\log$ porosity and $\log$ permeability of well D is presented below.


Well D core porosity verses core permeability graph is presented below.


Figure 5-6: Cross plot between core porosity and permeability and plot of log porosity and permeability are shown of well $A, B, C$ and $D(a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h)$

The detailed porosity and permeability (log and core) for different wells are referred in appendixI. The relationship between core and $\log$ porosity has been derived from well $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D in given equations.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\phi_{\text {Log }}=0.9613 \phi_{\text {Core }}+0.0131 & (\text { Porosity Core }-\log \text { Relationship of Well A) } \\
\phi_{\text {Log }}=0.9695 \phi_{\text {Core }}+0.0028 & (\text { Porosity Core }- \text { Log Realtionship of Well B) } \\
\phi_{\text {Log }}=0.9031 \phi_{\text {Core }}+0.0267 & (\text { Porosity Core }- \text { Log Relationship of Well C) } \\
\phi_{\text {Log }}=0.802 \phi_{\text {Core }}+0.0505 & (\text { Porosity Core }- \text { Log Relationship of Well D }) \tag{5.6}
\end{array}
$$

From integration of core porosity and $\log$ porosity of Well A a relationship has been developed which is presented below.


A relationship from the linear plot between core porosity and log porosity has been presented below.


Cross plot between log porosity and core porosity of well C has been plotted and develops a linear relationship which is shown below.


Linear relationship between core porosity and log porosity of well D has been plotted which is presented below.


Figure 5-7: Well $A, B, C$ and $D$ core and log porosity linear relationship has been developed ( $a$, $b, c, d)$

Porosity values evaluated from core and $\log$ analyses of well $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D is plotted on graphs with respect to depth.


Figure 5-8: Well A, B, C and D correlation of porosity from core and log data with reference to same interval

Permeability values obtained from $\log$ interpretation and lab analysis of well $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D are plotted on graph.




Figure 5-9 : Plot of well A, B, C and D has been developed to show the relation of permeability from core and log with respect to depth.

### 5.5 Porosity and Permeability Trend

Porosity and permeability trends of Datta formation (Jurassic Sandstone) of Upper Indus Basin of Pakistan have been developed on the basis of porosity and permeability data derived from different wells. According to the contours different horizons of porosity and permeability are presented on contour maps in figures (5-10 and 5-11). Porosity values ranges from 5 to $32 \%$ on porosity contour map which is scaled with colors of red, blue and green as represented in figure (5-10). Red color represents highest value of porosity and decreases from green to blue.


Figure 5-10: Porosity trend contour map of Datta Formation

Permeability values ranges from 0.1 md to 300 md and map is presented with red, green and blue color as a trend. In this trend highest value of permeability is lies in red color and decreases from green to blue figure 5-11.


Figure 5-11: Permeability trend contour map of Datta Formation

## Chapter 6 : Case Study of Multiphase Fluid Flow Through Porous Media

### 6.1 Introduction

Multiphase fluids flow through porous media effects reservoir potential and recovery mechanism. This study is focused on determining the flow behavior of Datta sandstone through relative permeability, capillary pressure and resistivity analysis of core plugs selected from wells C and D of Western Potowar area of Pakistan.

### 6.2 Relative Permeability Analysis

Five samples are selected for relative permeability and residual gas analysis. These five samples (now at immobile water saturation) have been evacuated under a surfactant free mineral oil of around 20 cp viscosity at ambient conditions. By applying back-pressure, samples have been cleaned through oil to eject remaining gas or mobile water in the pore spaces. At immobile water saturation, effective permeabilities of oil is determined for each sample to work as "base" permeabilities for later relative permeability measurement. As a function of time incremental effluents gas and oil volume is collected under constant pressure for each sample by injecting gas. At the excess of 30 in gas-oil relative permeability ratio the experiment is terminated. The tabulated data of each sample is given in appendix-II. Moreover, graphical representation of each sample is shown in figures given below:

Gas-oil relative permeability test of core sample no. 1 of well A is conducted and found that 37 percent oil is recovered from the pore space, graphical representation is given below.


Figure 6-1: Gas-Oil relative permeability curves of Well C, Sample No. REL-01
Graphical results of sample no. 2 of well C show that 49 percent oil is recovered from pore space.


Figure 6-2: Gas-Oil relative permeability curves of Well C, Sample No. REL-02

Gas-oil relative permeability result of sample no. 3 shows that 40 percent oil is recovered from pore space.


Figure 6-3: Gas-Oil relative permeability curves of Well C, Sample No. REL-03
Gas-oil relative permeability test of sample no. 4 of well D show that 40 percent oil is recovered from the pore space.


Figure 6-4: Gas-Oil relative permeability curves of Well D, Sample No. REL-04

Sample no. 5 of well D gas-oil relative permeability results shows that 45 percent oil is recovered from the pore space.


Figure 6-5: Gas-Oil relative permeability curves of Well D, Sample No. REL-05
Gas-oil ratio has been obtained from gas-oil relative permeability analysis. From these five analyses of well C and D it is observed that maximum oil recovery is 49 percent of sample no. 2 of well C and minimum oil recovery is 37 percent of sample no. 1 of well C.


Figure 6-6: Gas-Oil relative permeability ratio curves of Well C and D, Sample No. REL-(01-05)

The similar tests are also performed for oil-water relative permeability but meaningful results could not be attained. The tabulated data for oil-water relative permeability is given in appendixII. Moreover, graphical representation is given below:


Figure 6-7: Water-oil relative permeability curves of Well C, Sample No. REL-02
Through Gas-Oil relative permeability test it is observed that oil recovered ranges from 37 to 49 percent as shown in table 6-1.

Table 6-1: Summary of oil, gas and water recovery

| Sample ID |  | Depth in Meters | Permeability to Air Milidarcey | Porosity Percent | Initial Conditions |  | Terminal Conditions |  | Oil Recovered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Water saturation, Percent Pore Space |  |  | Effective Permeability to Oil Milidarcys | Oil <br> Saturation, Percent Pore Space | Effective Permeability to Gas Milidarcys | Percent Pore Space | Percent Oil in Place |
| Well C | REL-01 |  | 4451.45 | 63 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 57 | 50.7 | 27 | 37.6 | 42.6 |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 220 | 12.6 | 5.4 | 82 | 45.4 | 80 | 49.2 | 52 |
|  | REL-03 | 4456.84 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 6 | 52.3 | 2.6 | 40.6 | 43.7 |
| Well D | REL-04 | 4492.81 | 117 | 10.6 | 3.1 | 110 | 56.1 | 49 | 40.8 | 42.1 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 19.9 | 0.68 | 34.7 | 0.36 | 45.4 | 56.7 |

Water-oil test is performed and recovery of oil ranges from 36 to 66 percent as shown in table (62) given below:

Table 6-2: Summary of water-oil relative permeability Test Results

| Sample ID |  | Depth in Meters | Permeability to Air Milidarcey | Porosity Percent | Initial Conditions |  | Terminal Conditions |  | Oil Recovered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Water saturation, Percent Pore Space |  |  | Effective Permeability to Oil Milidacys | Oil Saturation , Percent Pore Space | Effective Permeabilit y Water Milidarcys | Percent <br> Pore <br> Space | Percent Oil in Place |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REL- } \\ 01 \end{gathered}$ |  | 4451.45 | 63 | 10.1 | 11.7 | 57 | 55.8 | 18 | 32.5 | 36.8 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REL- } \\ 02 \end{gathered}$ | 4456.57 | 220 | 12.6 | 5.4 | 182 | 54.3 | 78 | 40.3 | 42.6 |
| Well C | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { REL- } \\ 03 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4456.84 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 7.1 | 6 | 53.5 | 1.6 | 39.4 | 42.4 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { REL- } \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | 4492.81 | 117 | 10.6 | 3.1 | 110 | 67.5 | 17 | 29.4 | 30.3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Well } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { REL- } \\ 05 \end{gathered}$ | 4501.82 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 19.9 | 0.68 | 27.2 | 0.04 | 52.9 | 66 |

### 6.3 Capillary Pressure Analysis

For centrifuge capillary pressure tests, plug samples are cleaned in methanol, dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The selected five samples for residual gas tests are evacuated and pressure saturated with toluene. Each sample is then allowed to imbibe toluene by being submerged in the fluid, and the residual gas saturation determined gravimetrically. Results founded from the capillary pressure analysis it is observed that the samples are strongly wetting characteristics which will readily allow imbibition. From capillary pressure test it is observed that as pressure increases from 0 to 1000psi the brine saturation level also decreases ranges from 100 to 3.3 percent. Sample No. 5 of well C highest brine saturation ranges from 100 to 13 percent at pressures ranges from o to 1000psi. The graphical representation of capillary pressure data is given below:


Figure 6-8: Air-Brine Drainage Capillary Pressure
The results showed that values achieved for immobile water saturation are unexpectedly low considering the rather low permeability of many of the samples.

### 6.4 Formation Factor as a Function of Overburden Pressure

Five samples were evacuated and saturated by pressure with simulated formation brine approximately $65,000 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ concentration. On consecutive overburden pressure of 200, 3700 and 7400 psi the electrical resistivity of brine-saturated samples is determined. On direct observation of incremental brine displacement, porosity reduction is calculated. The calculated formation factor values are shown below:

From graph given below it is observed that an intercept "a" of unity, the composite plots yields values for the cementation exponent, " m ," is 1.69 at effective overburden pressures of 0 psi .


Figure 6-9: Effective Overburden Pressure at 0 Psi
It is observed that an intercept "a" of unity, the composite plots yields values for the cementation exponent, " m ," is 1.72 at effective overburden pressures of 200 psi .


Figure 6-10: Effective Overburden Pressure at 200 Psi
It is observed that an intercept "a" of unity, the composite plots yields values for the cementation exponent, "m," is 1.91 at effective overburden pressures of 3700 psi .


Figure 6-11: Effective Overburden Pressure at 3700 Psi

### 6.5 Formation Resistivity Index

After cleaning with hot methanol and drying at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, these five samples were tested for formation resistivity index. From these resistivity determinations on samples of known water saturation, resistivity index values were calculated. The graphical data is shown on figure (6-13 to 6-17). The composite figure (6-18) yields an average saturation exponent, " $n$," of 1.80 for the samples from well C and D.

The graphical representation of sample (1) is given below:


Figure 6-12: Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index of Well C, Sample No. REL-01 The graphical representation of sample (2) is given below:


Figure 6-13: Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index of Well C, Sample No. REL-02 The graphical representation of sample (3) is given below:


Figure 6-14: Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index of Well C, Sample No. REL-03 The graphical representation of sample (4) is given below:


Figure 6-15: Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index of Well D, Sample No. REL-04 The graphical representation of sample (5) is given below:


Figure 6-16: Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index of Well D, Sample No. REL-05 The graphical representation of composite is given below:


Figure 6-17: Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index

### 6.6 Multiphase Flow Behavior of Datta Sandstone

The results showed that oil recovery is greater from water-oil relative permeability test as compare to gas-oil relative permeability tests. It represents the greater efficiency of the water-
drive system in the reservoir. Contrary to this, observations made on the end-point data showed less recoverable oil from water-oil system as compare to gas-oil system. This uncharacteristic data for oil-water system is due to lithological heterogeneities. Moreover, these heterogeneities may also affect gas-oil data. The data obtained is not consistent either with the type of deleterious rock-brine interaction, that often results in low oil recovery and unrepresentative incremental data for water-oil relative permeability tests. The data produced is affected by core contamination (drilling/coring fluid). By conducting gas-oil and water-oil relative permeabilities analysis, oil flow rate ranges from 2.59 to $790 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}$ and gas flow rate ranges from 1.5 to $347 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}$. It can be observed that oil flow rate is higher than gas flow rate. The details of oil and gas flow rates are shown in table (6-7). The flow rate of oil and gas has been derived from equation 6.1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{A \Delta P}{K \mu L}\left(\text { Darcy's Law }^{\prime}\right. \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 6-3: Oil and Gas Flow rates and permeabilities

| Sample ID |  | Depth in Meters 4451.45 | Effective <br> Permeabi <br> lity to Oil <br> (Milidacy <br> s) <br> 57 | Effective <br> Permeabilit <br> y to Gas <br> (Milidarcys) <br> 27 | Permeabi <br> lity to Air <br> (Milidarc <br> eys) <br> 63 | Viscosity <br> cp) <br> 20 <br> 20 | Length (cm) 7.62 | Area $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ 11.39 | Change In <br> Pressure <br> (Psi) <br> 1 | Flow Rate $\mathbf{Q}_{0}(\mathbf{c c} / \mathrm{sec})$ 247.4682 | Flow Rate $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{c c} / \mathrm{sec})$ 117.2218 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well C | REL-01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 182 | 80 | 220 | 20 | 7.62 | 11.39 | 1 | 790.1617 | 347.3238 |
|  | REL-03 | 4456.84 | 6 | 2.6 | 9.4 | 20 | 7.62 | 11.39 | 1 | 26.04929 | 11.28802 |
| Well D | REL-04 | 4492.81 | 110 | 49 | 117 | 20 | 7.62 | 11.39 | 1 | 477.5703 | 212.7358 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 0.68 | 0.36 | 2.1 | 20 | 7.62 | 11.39 | 1 | 2.952252 | 1.562957 |

A linear relation has been observed between the permeability of oil and gas to flow rate of oil and gas which is shown in Figure no. (6.19).


Figure 6-18: Oil flow rate and oil permeability
A similar trend is also observed between gas flow rate and permeability as shown below:


Figure 6-19: Gas flow rate and gas permeability

Correlation of oil flow rate with oil permeability has been developed which is explained in equation no. 6.2. Similarly, correlation of gas flow rate with gas permeability is defined in equation no. 6.3.

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{o}=4.3415 K_{o}+1 E-13 \quad \text { (Relationship of oil flow rate and oil permeability) }  \tag{6.2}\\
\qquad Q_{g}=4.3415 k_{g} \quad \text { (Relationship of gas flow rate and gas permeability) } \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Core samples of Datta Sandstone from different wells have been selected for measurement of oil and gas flow rate. After selection of core samples and flow rate measurement oil and gas flow rate trends have been developed through contour map as shown in figure (6-20 \& 6-21).


Figure 6-20: Oil flow rate trend contour map of Datta Formation of Upper Indus Basin

Blue color depicts minimum flow rate but green and red colors are specified for medium to maximum flow rates. The contour map for gas flow rate is given below:


Figure 6-21: Gas flow rate trend contour map of Datta Formation of Upper Indus Basin

## Chapter 7 : Conclusion and Recommendations

### 7.1 Conclusion

> The density results from logs and core are in close relationship for all major intervals in all wells. Similarly, results of porosity and permeability from log and core are similar for major intervals of the wells (A, B, C, and D).
$>$ Based on the research, linear relationship exists between core and $\log$ porosity. For wells A, $B, C$ and $D$ regression coefficient $R^{2}$ values are $0.8866,0.9921,0.9465$ and 0.8851 respectively. Porosity values vary in all wells. The porosity value for well B is greater as compared to well A, C and D.
> The porosity and permeability contour maps of Upper Indus Basin of Pakistan have been developed based on porosity and permeability data. Porosity values ranges from $0.324 \%$ to $20 \%$ and permeability values ranges from 0.0035 md to 1284 md .
> From the core samples of well C and D of Datta Sandstone it is concluded that oil recovery is less in water-oil as compared to gas-oil tests. By conducting gas-oil and water-oil relative permeabilities analysis, oil flow rate ranges from 2.59 to $790 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}$ and gas flow rate ranges from 1.5 to $347 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}$. It can be observed that oil flow rate is higher than gas flow rate.
$>$ From capillary pressure test of core samples of well C and D , it has been observed that the samples have strong wetting characteristics, which readily allow imbibition.
$>$ Based on the resistivity analysis, cementation factor " m " of well C and D of core samples ranges from 1.69 to 1.91 .

### 7.2 Recommendations

- Enhance Oil Recovery study can be conducted to recover the remaining potential of the reservoir.
- Simulation study can be conducted on this available lab analysis and results.
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## Appendix-I

Details of well A Core and Log values at similar depth

| Depth | Log <br> Density <br> (m) | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4600 | 2.115 | 2.2 | 0.261 | 0.3 | 40.5481 |


| Depth | Log <br> Density <br> (m) | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core Permeability |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4604.5 | 2.377 |  | 0.212 |  | 2.2823 |


| Depth | Log <br> Density <br> (m) | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core Permeability |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4609.5 | 2 |  | 0.261 |  | 6.1997 |


| Depth | Log <br> Density <br> (m) | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Core Permeability |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4614.5 | 1.782 |  | 0.375 |  | 12.6217 |


| Depth | Log <br> Density <br> (m) | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4619.5 | 2.056 |  | 0.316 |  | 0.017 |


| Depth <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Log <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) | Core Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4624.5 | 2.204 |  | 0.267 |  | 0 |  |
| 4624.625 | 2.199 |  | 0.267 |  | 0 |  |
| 4624.75 | 2.213 |  | 0.262 |  | 0 |  |
| 4624.875 | 2.229 | 2.2 | 0.259 | 0.23 | 0 | 0 |
| 4625 | 2.24 |  | 0.258 |  | 0 |  |
| 4625.125 | 2.246 |  | 0.259 |  | 0 |  |
| 4625.25 | 2.245 |  | 0.268 |  | 0 |  |
| 4625.375 | 2.224 |  | 0.274 |  | 0 |  |
| 4625.5 | 2.208 |  | 0.276 |  | 0 |  |
| 4625.625 | 2.204 |  | 0.271 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 4625.75 | 2.215 |  |  |  | 0.24 | 0 |
| 4625.875 | 2.24 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

Details of well B Core and Log values at similar depth

| Depth <br> (m) | Log Density (RHOB) | Core <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability (md) | Core Permeability (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4735 | 2.507 | 2.53 | 0.069 | 0.06 | 0.0096 | 0.446 |
| 4735.125 | 2.51 |  | 0.068 |  | 0.0107 |  |
| 4735.25 | 2.5 |  | 0.072 |  | 0.0183 |  |
| 4735.375 | 2.436 |  | 0.097 |  | 0.0914 |  |
| 4735.5 | 2.439 |  | 0.098 |  | 0.0933 |  |
| 4735.625 | 2.443 |  | 0.098 |  | 0.081 |  |
| 4735.75 | 2.486 |  | 0.083 |  | 0.0393 |  |
| 4735.875 | 2.534 |  | 0.066 |  | 0.0139 |  |
| 4736 | 2.547 | 2.36 | 0.061 | 0.132 | 0.0093 |  |
| 4736.125 | 2.545 |  | 0.061 |  | 0.0106 |  |
| 4736.25 | 2.488 |  | 0.08 |  | 0.0326 |  |
| 4736.375 | 2.469 |  | 0.092 |  | 0.0509 |  |
| 4736.5 | 2.457 |  | 0.102 |  | 0.0604 |  |
| 4736.625 | 2.431 |  | 0.115 |  | 0.0733 |  |
| 4736.75 | 2.391 |  | 0.129 |  | 0.1199 |  |
| 4736.875 | 2.365 |  | 0.132 |  | 0.112 |  |
| 4737 | 2.364 | 2.37 | 0.129 | 0.134 | 0.1389 | 0.561 |
| 4737.125 | 2.363 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.1921 |  |
| 4737.25 | 2.341 |  | 0.141 |  | 0.3779 |  |
| 4737.375 | 2.294 |  | 0.163 |  | 0.9528 |  |
| 4737.5 | 2.249 |  | 0.186 |  | 1.4825 |  |
| 4737.625 | 2.283 |  | 0.173 |  | 0.792 |  |
| 4737.75 | 2.337 |  | 0.152 |  | 0.362 |  |
| 4737.875 | 2.379 |  | 0.134 |  | 0.1904 |  |
| 4738 | 2.398 | 2.1 | 0.126 | 0.242 | 0.1516 |  |
| 4738.125 | 2.399 |  | 0.129 |  | 0.19 |  |
| 4738.25 | 2.383 |  | 0.14 |  | 0.2216 |  |
| 4738.375 | 2.362 |  | 0.15 |  | 0.3113 |  |
| 4738.5 | 2.333 |  | 0.161 |  | 0.3551 |  |
| 4738.625 | 2.299 |  | 0.173 |  | 0.445 |  |
| 4738.75 | 2.24 |  | 0.195 |  | 0.719 |  |
| 4738.875 | 2.102 |  | 0.242 |  | 0.7901 |  |
| 4739 | 2.056 | 2.46 | 0.275 | 0.171 | 0.2919 | 2.184 |
| 4739.125 | 2.03 |  | 0.299 |  | 0.2118 |  |
| 4739.25 | 1.994 |  | 0.315 |  | 0.6449 |  |
| 4739.375 | 1.968 |  | 0.318 |  | 3.1556 |  |
| 4739.5 | 1.992 |  | 0.304 |  | 7.5367 |  |
| 4739.625 | 2.068 |  | 0.285 |  | 3.7327 |  |


| Depth | Log <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Core <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4739.75 | 2.322 |  | 0.216 |  |  |


| Repth | Log <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Core <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4744.625 | 2.608 |  | 0.047 |  | 0.0002 |


|  | Log <br> Density <br> Depth <br> (m) | Core <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4749.5 | 2.208 |  | 0.195 |  | 30.5177 |


| Depth <br> (m) | Log Density (RHOB) | Core <br> Density <br> (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability (md) | Core Permeability (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4754.375 | 1.708 |  | 0.332 |  | 38.3718 |  |
| 4754.5 | 1.588 |  | 0.355 |  | 59.1098 |  |
| 4754.625 | 1.534 |  | 0.361 |  | 70.5834 |  |
| 4754.75 | 1.628 |  | 0.326 |  | 40.0102 |  |
| 4754.875 | 1.625 |  | 0.326 |  | 45.017 |  |
| 4755 | 1.815 | 1.62 | 0.268 | 0.327 | 11.751 | 30.4243 |
| 4755.125 | 1.766 |  | 0.283 |  | 11.0824 |  |
| 4755.25 | 1.715 |  | 0.299 |  | 12.5942 |  |
| 4755.375 | 1.654 |  | 0.317 |  | 18.9579 |  |
| 4755.5 | 1.496 |  | 0.365 |  | 48.7899 |  |
| 4755.625 | 1.613 |  | 0.331 |  | 27.7761 |  |
| 4755.75 | 1.624 |  | 0.326 |  | 21.7847 |  |
| 4755.875 | 1.624 |  | 0.327 |  | 18.6588 |  |

Details of well C Core and Log values at similar depth

| Depth (m) | Log Density (RHOB) | Core Density (RHOB) | Log <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core <br> Porosity <br> (Fraction) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Log} \\ \text { Permeability } \\ (\mathrm{md}) \end{gathered}$ | Core Permeability (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4493.09 | 2.441 | 2.5 | 0.239 | 0.22 | 0 | 51.32 |
| 4493.34 | 2.378 |  | 0.231 |  | 0 |  |
| 4493.65 | 2.341 |  | 0.223 |  | 0 |  |
| 4493.92 | 2.311 |  | 0.225 |  | 0.2135 |  |
| 4494.08 | 2.298 | 2.32 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.3611 | 3.74 |
| 4494.33 | 2.265 |  | 0.206 |  | 0.829 |  |
| 4494.62 | 2.262 |  | 0.204 |  | 0.344 |  |
| 4494.87 | 2.268 |  | 0.191 |  | 0.0033 |  |
| 4495.27 | 2.364 | 2.64 | 0.172 | 0.27 | 0.0031 | 0.065 |
| 4495.52 | 2.363 |  | 0.165 |  | 0.0126 |  |
| 4495.76 | 2.286 |  | 0.181 |  | 0.0653 |  |
| 4495.97 | 2.262 |  | 0.188 |  | 0.1707 |  |
| 4496.33 | 2.22 | 2.32 | 0.204 | 0.22 | 0.7166 | 0.083 |
| 4496.7 | 2.203 |  | 0.226 |  | 0.2418 |  |
| 4496.98 | 2.201 |  | 0.229 |  | 0.2227 |  |
| 4497.25 | 2.22 | 2.42 | 0.229 | 0.24 | 0.2899 | 0.12 |
| 4497.49 | 2.258 |  | 0.212 |  | 0.0722 |  |
| 4497.78 | 2.335 |  | 0.195 |  | 0.0003 |  |
| 4498.1 | 2.376 | 2.4 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0 | 0.097 |
| 4498.46 | 2.289 |  | 0.186 |  | 0 |  |
| 4498.84 | 2.182 |  | 0.175 |  | 0.0005 |  |
| 4499.23 | 2.215 | 2.2 | 0.181 | 0.3 | 0.0947 | 0.13 |
| 4499.52 | 2.243 |  | 0.18 |  | 0.1983 |  |
| 4499.81 | 2.311 |  | 0.184 |  | 0.3166 |  |
| 4500.07 | 2.364 | 2.64 | 0.187 | 0.22 | 0.6459 | 0.5 |
| 4500.32 | 2.405 |  | 0.192 |  | 0.1493 |  |
| 4500.57 | 2.476 |  | 0.194 |  | 0.1106 |  |
| 4500.83 | 2.535 |  | 0.188 |  | 0.035 |  |
| 4501.19 | 2.6 | 2.65 | 0.181 | 0.12 | 0.0102 | 0.24 |
| 4501.5 | 2.566 |  | 0.175 |  | 0.1978 |  |
| 4501.82 | 2.527 |  | 0.175 |  |  |  |

Details of well D Core and Log values at similar depth

| Depth <br> (m) | Log Density (RHOB) | Core Density (RHOB) | Log Porosity (Fraction) | Core Porosity (Fraction) | Log Permeability (md) | Core Permeability (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4516 | 2.507 | 2.53 | 0.314 | 0.35 | 18.6492 |  |
| 4516.125 | 2.51 |  | 0.313 | 0.31 | 23.4035 |  |
| 4516.25 | 2.5 |  | 0.319 |  | 31.2644 |  |
| 4516.375 | 2.436 |  | 0.329 |  | 44.7554 |  |
| 4516.5 | 2.439 |  | 0.322 |  | 46.548 |  |
| 4516.625 | 2.443 |  | 0.298 |  | 34.6024 |  |
| 4516.75 | 2.486 |  | 0.262 |  | 18.9436 |  |
| 4516.875 | 2.534 |  | 0.198 |  | 4.5366 |  |
| 4517 | 2.547 | 2.36 | 0.144 | 0.16 | 0.8968 |  |
| 4517.125 | 2.545 |  | 0.129 |  | 0.6275 |  |
| 4517.25 | 2.488 |  | 0.138 |  | 1.2938 |  |
| 4517.375 | 2.469 |  | 0.153 |  | 4.1844 | 1.2 |
| 4517.5 | 2.457 |  | 0.158 |  | 6.7756 |  |
| 4517.625 | 2.431 |  | 0.16 |  | 7.2997 |  |
| 4517.75 | 2.391 |  | 0.161 | 0.11 | 6.1774 | 106 |
| 4517.875 | 2.365 | 2.38 | 0.154 |  | 4.3842 | 100 |
| 4518 | 2.364 |  | 0.135 |  | 1.895 |  |
| 4518.125 | 2.363 |  | 0.133 | 0.12 | 1.5227 |  |
| 4518.25 | 2.341 |  | 0.153 | 0.2 | 2.689 | 161 |
| 4518.375 | 2.294 |  | 0.188 |  | 5.4565 |  |
| 4518.5 | 2.249 |  | 0.221 |  | 6.9631 | 81 |
| 4518.625 | 2.283 |  | 0.235 |  | 4.0417 |  |
| 4518.75 | 2.337 |  | 0.219 |  | 0.8137 |  |
| 4518.875 | 2.379 |  | 0.203 | 0.21 | 0.1182 | 27 |
| 4519 | 2.398 | 2.1 | 0.172 |  | 0.0101 |  |
| 4519.125 | 2.399 |  | 0.141 |  | 0.0009 |  |
| 4519.25 | 2.383 |  | 0.134 | 0.15 | 0.0003 | 7.2 |
| 4519.375 | 2.362 |  | 0.135 |  | 0.0004 |  |
| 4519.5 | 2.333 |  | 0.143 | 0.11 | 0.0005 | 67 |
| 4519.625 | 2.299 |  | 0.155 |  | 0.0005 |  |
| 4519.75 | 2.24 |  | 0.16 |  | 0.0004 |  |
| 4519.875 | 2.102 |  | 0.162 |  | 0.0003 | 56 |
| 4520 | 2.056 | 2.53 | 0.187 |  | 0.0004 |  |
| 4520.125 | 2.03 |  | 0.204 |  | 0.0006 | 177 |
| 4520.25 | 1.994 |  | 0.221 |  | 0.001 |  |
| 4520.375 | 1.968 |  | 0.241 |  | 0.0043 |  |
| 4520.5 | 1.992 |  | 0.256 |  | 0.0269 |  |
| 4520.625 | 2.068 |  | 0.259 | 0.22 | 0.1028 | 125 |
| 4520.75 | 2.322 |  | 0.252 |  | 0.2035 |  |


| Depth <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Log Density <br> $($ RHOB $)$ | Core Density <br> (RHOB) | Log Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Core Porosity <br> (Fraction) | Log Permeability <br> $(\mathbf{m d})$ | Core Permeability <br> (md) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4520.875 | 2.463 |  | 0.222 | 0.21 | 0.2728 | 0.39 |
| 4521 | 2.512 | 2.42 | 0.199 |  | 0.3782 |  |
| 4521.125 | 2.519 |  | 0.184 | 0.2 | 0.5339 | 27 |
| 4521.25 | 2.51 |  | 0.172 |  | 0.8119 |  |
| 4521.375 | 2.511 |  | 0.162 |  | 1.3884 |  |
| 4521.5 | 2.456 |  | 0.162 |  | 1.8827 |  |
| 4521.625 | 2.423 |  | 0.154 |  | 0.4376 |  |
| 4521.75 | 2.417 |  | 0.138 |  | 0.1508 |  |
| 4521.875 | 2.42 |  | 0.13 |  | 0.0953 |  |
| 4522 | 2.444 | 2.43 | 0.143 |  | 0.0659 |  |
| 4522.125 | 2.46 |  | 0.166 |  | 0.0935 |  |
| 4522.25 | 2.456 |  | 0.193 |  |  |  |

## Appendix-II

| Gas-Oil relative permeability |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample No. REL-01 (Depth: 4451 m) |  |  |  |
| Sg (Percent Pore Space) | Krg/Kro (Fraction) | Krg (Fraction) | Kro (Fraction) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5.7 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.51 |
| 7.0 | 0.121 | 0.052 | 0.43 |
| 8.7 | 0.18 | 0.064 | 0.355 |
| 10.2 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.30 |
| 12.0 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.30 |
| 13.1 | 0.51 | 0.11 | 0.22 |
| 15.7 | 0.90 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| 20.6 | 2.42 | 0.21 | 0.09 |
| 23.0 | 3.50 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 25.2 | 5.90 | 0.28 | 0.05 |
| 29.5 | 13.5 | 0.337 | 0.025 |
| 35.0 | 36.3 | 0.435 | 0.012 |
| 37.6 | 52.6 | 0.471 | 0.009 |
| Sample No. REL-02 (Depth: 4456 m ) |  |  |  |
| Sg (Percent Pore Space) | Krg/Kro (Fraction) | Krg (Fraction) | Kro (Fraction) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 9.7 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.5 |
| 11.2 | 0.136 | 0.058 | 0.424 |
| 13.0 | 0.191 | 0.071 | 0.369 |
| 14.5 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.34 |
| 16.0 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.30 |
| 21.8 | 0.73 | 0.14 | 0.20 |
| 26.2 | 1.37 | 0.18 | 0.13 |
| 30.2 | 2.35 | 0.23 | 0.10 |
| 34.0 | 4.10 | 0.30 | 0.10 |
| 38.5 | 7.37 | 0.33 | 0.04 |
| 43.3 | 14.0 | 0.384 | 0.027 |
| 49.2 | 31.3 | 0.442 | 0.014 |
| Sample No. REL-03 (Depth: 4457m) |  |  |  |
| Sg (Percent Pore Space) | Krg/Kro (Fraction) | Krg (Fraction) | Kro (Fraction) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4.0 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.69 |
| 5.4 | 0.087 | 0.052 | 0.601 |
| 7.3 | 0.125 | 0.063 | 0.505 |
| 8.9 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.43 |
| 10 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.40 |
| 13.1 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.29 |
| 17.3 | 0.72 | 0.14 | 0.19 |
| 20.0 | 1.1 | 0.17 | 0.15 |
| 24.0 | 1.9 | 0.20 | 0.10 |
| 28.3 | 3.91 | 0.25 | 0.06 |
| 33.1 | 8.58 | 0.313 | 0.036 |
| 37.2 | 18.4 | 0.373 | 0.02 |
| 40.6 | 35.2 | 0.441 | 0.013 |
| Sample No. REL-04 (Depth: 4492m) |  |  |  |
| Sg (Percent Pore Space) | Krg/Kro (Fraction) | Krg (Fraction) | Kro (Fraction) |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6.9 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.52 |
| 8.0 | 0.095 | 0.044 | 0.446 |
| 9.4 | 0.13 | 0.054 | 0.414 |
| 10.7 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.37 |
| 14 | 0.30 | 0.10 | 0.30 |
| 20.2 | 0.90 | 0.14 | 0.15 |
| 22.9 | 1.56 | 0.17 | 0.11 |
| 25.3 | 2.20 | 0.19 | 0.09 |
| 30.0 | 4.50 | 0.30 | 0.10 |
| 34.0 | 10.4 | 0.31 | 0.03 |
| 38.2 | 24.1 | 0.375 | 0.016 |
| 40.8 | 42.4 | 0.447 | 0.011 |


| Water-Oil relative permeability |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample No. REL-05 (Depth: 44501m) | Kro (Fraction) |  |  |
| Sg (Percent Pore Space) | Krg/Kro (Fraction) | Krg (Fraction) | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.79 |
| 7.10 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.68 |
| 8.70 | 0.026 | 0.018 | 0.61 |
| 10.6 | 0.039 | 0.024 | 0.54 |
| 12.3 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.50 |
| 14.0 | 0.10 | 0 | 0.40 |
| 16.3 | 0.12 | 0.05 | 0.29 |
| 20.1 | 0.25 | 0.07 | 0.23 |
| 22.0 | 0.41 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| 24.0 | 1.30 | 0.10 | 0.12 |
| 28.4 | 2.88 | 0.16 | 0.072 |
| 32.0 | 5.01 | 0.208 | 0.053 |
| 34.4 | 7.82 | 0.266 | 0.039 |
| 37.1 | 23.3 | 0.305 | 0.018 |
| 41.9 | 618 | 0.426 | 0.0086 |
| 45.4 |  | 0.529 |  |

Residual Gas Saturation by Imbibition

| Sample ID |  | Depth in Meters 449281 | Permeability to Air Milidarcey | Porosity Percent | Initial Liquid saturation, Perecent Pore Space | Residual Gas Saturation, Percent Pore Space | Gas Displaced Percent Pore Space |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Well } \\ \text { D } \end{gathered}$ | REL-04 |  | 117 | 10.6 | 3.3 | 52.5 | 44.2 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 13.5 | 38 | 48.5 |
| Well C | REL-01 | 4451.45 | 63 | 10.1 | 4.4 | 49.3 | 46.3 |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 220 | 12.6 | 5.4 | 61.8 | 32.8 |
|  | REL-03 | 4456.84 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 53 | 40.1 |

## Formation Resistivity as a Function of Overburden Pressure

| Formation Resistivity as a Function of Overburden Pressure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Saturant |  | Simulated Formation Brine |  |  | Effective Over Burden Pressure, Psi |  |  |  |
| Resistivity of Saturant: 0.115 Ohem-meters at $77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |  | 0 | 200 | 3700 | 7400 |
| Sample ID |  | Depth in <br> Meters | Permeability to Air Milidarcey | Porosity <br> Percent | Formation Resistivity Factor |  |  |  |
| Well <br> C | REL-01 | 4451.45 | 63 | 10.1 | 50.2 | 57.9 | 76.3 | 81.5 |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 220 | 12.6 | 36.4 | 42.5 | 52.2 | 54.7 |
|  | REL-03 | 4456.84 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 62.1 | 74.1 | 116 | 141 |
| Well <br> D | REL-04 | 4492.81 | 117 | 10.6 | 44 | 50.8 | 63.9 | 68.5 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 40.2 | 49.9 | 73.3 | 85.9 |
| Porosity, Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Well } \\ \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | REL-01 | 4451.45 | 63 |  | 10.1 | 9.7 | 9.1 | 8.9 |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 220 |  | 12.6 | 12.2 | 11.5 | 11.4 |
|  | REL-03 | 4456.84 | 9.4 |  | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.4 | 7.3 |
| Well$\mathrm{D}$ | REL-04 | 4492.81 | 117 |  | 10.6 | 10.2 | 9.5 | 9.4 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 2.1 |  | 10.7 | 10.4 | 10.2 | 10.1 |

The summary of capillary pressure test data is shown below:
Summary of capillary pressure test

| Fluid System |  |  | Air-Water(Drainage) |  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Test Method |  |  | High Speed Centrifuge | Pressure, Psi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID |  | Depth in <br> Meters | Permeability to Air Milidarcey | Porosity Percent | Brine S | uration | Percent P | re Spa |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well <br> C | REL-01 | 4451.45 | 63 | 10.1 | 67.5 | 52.1 | 33.3 | 22.6 | 15 | 13 | 10.4 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 4.4 |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 220 | 12.6 | 31.3 | 27.5 | 20.5 | 16.3 | 11.9 | 10.4 | 9 | 8.8 | 7.1 | 5.4 |
|  | REL03 | 4456.84 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 100 | 72.6 | 50.5 | 34.7 | 21 | 16.5 | 13.8 | 11 | 8 | 6.9 |
| Well <br> D | REL-04 | 4492.81 | 117 | 10.6 | 56.4 | 37 | 23 | 15.4 | 9.6 | 7.2 | 6.1 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 100 | 100 | 79.5 | 60.1 | 44.5 | 34 | 27.6 | 21 | 15.6 | 13.5 |

The tabulated formation resistivity index is given below:
Formation Resistivity Factor and Resistivity Index

| Saturant: Simulated Formation Brine |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resistivity Of Saturant 0.115 Ohem-Metrs at $77{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample ID |  | Depth in Meters | Permeability to Air Milidarcey | Porosity <br> Percent | Formation Resistivity Factor | Brine <br> Saturation, <br> Percent Pore <br> Sapce | Resistivity Index |
| Well C | REL-01 | 4451.45 | 63 | 10.1 | 50.2 | 100 | 1 |
|  | REL-02 | 4456.57 | 220 | 12.6 | 36.4 | 100 | 1 |
|  | REL-03 | 4456.84 | 9.4 | 8.4 | 62.1 | 100 | 1 |
| Well D | REL-04 | 4492.81 | 117 | 10.6 | 44 | 100 | 1 |
|  | REL-05 | 4501.82 | 2.1 | 10.7 | 40.2 | 100 | 1 |

